

DE CASTRO LAW GROUP, P.C.
José-Manuel A. de Castro (State Bar No. 213769)
jmdecastrolawgroup.com
David G. Larmore (State Bar No. 216041)
dlarmore@decastrolawgroup.com
Lori V. Minassian (State Bar No. 223542)
lminassian@decastrolawgroup.com
9000 W. Sunset Boulevard, Suite 450
Los Angeles, California 90069
Telephone: (310) 270-9877
Facsimile: (310) 341-2330

Attorneys for Defendants Rockport
Administrative Services, LLC, and
Riverside Healthcare & Wellness Centre,
LLC, Tim Garcia, and Cecilia Machado

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

**JAMES TRULEY, an individual,
Plaintiff**

V.

SHLOMO RECHNITZ, individually and dba ROCKPORT HEALTHCARE SERVICES, and dba ALTA VISTA HEALTHCARE & WELLNESS CENTER; ROCKPORT ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, LLC, a California limited liability company; DINCEL LAW GROUP, an entity of unknown type; KIM O. DINCEL, an individual; W. JOHN LO, an individual; RIVERSIDE HEALTHCARE & WELLNESS CENTRE, LLC, a California limited liability company; Tim G. Doe, an individual, Cecilia M. Doe, an individual, and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive.

Defendants.

Case No. 5:15-cv-00715-SJO-SP

**ANSWER TO COMPLAINT ON
BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS
ROCKPORT ADMINISTRATIVE
SERVICES, LLC, RIVERSIDE
HEALTHCARE & WELLNESS
CENTRE, LLC, TIM GARCIA,
AND CECILIA MACHADO**

Defendants Rockport Administrative Services, LLC, individually and doing business as Rockport Healthcare Services, Riverside Healthcare & Wellness Centre,

1 LLC, individually and doing business as Alta Vista Healthcare & Wellness Centre,
2 Tim Garcia, sued as “Tim G. Doe,” and Cecilia Machado, sued as “Cecilia M. Doe”
3 (collectively, “Answering Defendants”), as and for their answer to the complaint in
4 this matter filed by Plaintiff James Truley on April 13, 2015 (the “Complaint”),
5 hereby allege as follows.

6 1. To the extent the allegation contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint
7 are directed at them, Answering Defendants deny those allegations. In all other
8 respects, Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a
9 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, and
10 on that basis, deny those allegations.

11 2. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
12 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint,
13 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

14 3. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
15 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint,
16 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

17 4. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
18 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint,
19 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

20 5. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint,
21 Answering Defendants admit that the Complaint asserts a sufficient basis for an
22 assertion by this Court of jurisdiction over the subject matter of Plaintiff’s claims
23 under federal law.

24 6. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
25 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint,
26 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

27 7. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
28 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint,

1 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

2 8. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of
3 the Complaint, except admit that Defendant Shlomo Rechnitz is an individual.

4 9. Answering Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of
5 the Complaint.

6 10. Answering Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of
7 the Complaint.

8 11. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
9 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint,
10 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

11 12. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
12 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint,
13 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

14 13. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
15 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint,
16 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

17 14. Answering Defendants admit that Defendant Tim Garcia, sued as “Tim
18 G. Doe” is an individual. Answering Defendants deny that Defendant Tim Garcia
19 works for Defendant Shlomo Rechnitz. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of
20 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations
21 contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

22 15. Answering Defendants admit that Defendant Cecilia Machado, sued as
23 “Cecilia M. Doe” is an individual. Answering Defendants deny that Defendant
24 Cecilia Machado works for Defendant Shlomo Rechnitz. Answering Defendants deny
25 knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining
26 allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, and on that basis, deny those
27 allegations.

28 16. To the extent the allegation contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint

1 are directed at them, Answering Defendants deny those allegations. In all other
2 respects, Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a
3 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint,
4 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

5 17. To the extent the allegation contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint
6 are directed at them, Answering Defendants deny those allegations. In all other
7 respects, Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a
8 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint,
9 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

10 18. To the extent the allegation contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint
11 are directed at them, Answering Defendants deny those allegations. In all other
12 respects, Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a
13 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint,
14 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

15 19. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
16 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint,
17 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

18 20. To the extent the allegation contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint
19 are directed at them, Answering Defendants deny those allegations. In all other
20 respects, Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a
21 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint,
22 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

23 21. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
24 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint,
25 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

26 22. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
27 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint,
28 and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Answering Defendants admit that

1 prior to September 1, 2014, Plaintiff incurred financial obligations related to the
2 admission of his mother to a nursing home operated under the name of Alta Vista
3 Healthcare & Wellness Centre.

4 23. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
5 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint,
6 and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Answering Defendants admit that
7 prior to September 1, 2014, Plaintiff defaulted on financial obligations related to the
8 admission of his mother to a nursing home operated under the name of Alta Vista
9 Healthcare & Wellness Centre.

10 24. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
11 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint,
12 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

13 25. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of
14 the Complaint.

15 26. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
16 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint,
17 and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Answering Defendants admit that the
18 document attached as Exhibit A to the Complaint appears to be a true and correct copy
19 of a letter sent by Defendant Tim Garcia to Plaintiff on or about September 25, 2014,
20 and that the quotation contained in Paragraph 26 is an accurate, albeit excerpted,
21 quotation from that letter.

22 27. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of
23 the Complaint.

24 28. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of
25 the Complaint.

26 29. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of
27 the Complaint.

28 30. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form

1 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint,
2 and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Answering Defendants admit that the
3 document attached as Exhibit B to the Complaint appears to be a true and correct copy
4 of a letter sent by Defendant Cecilia Machado to Plaintiff on October 9, 2014, and that
5 the quotation contained in Paragraph 30 is an accurate, albeit excerpted, quotation
6 from that letter.

7 31. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of
8 the Complaint, except Answering Defendants admit that the document attached as
9 Exhibit B to the Complaint appears to be a true and correct copy of a letter sent by
10 Defendant Cecilia Machado to Plaintiff on October 9, 2014.

11 32. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
12 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint,
13 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

14 33. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the
15 allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

16 34. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
17 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint,
18 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

19 35. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of
20 the Complaint.

21 36. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the
22 allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint.

23 37. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
24 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint,
25 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

26 38. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
27 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint,
28 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

1 39. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
2 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint,
3 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

4 40. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
5 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint,
6 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

7 41. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
8 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint,
9 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

10 42. Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 of
11 the Complaint.

12 43. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the
13 allegations contained in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint.

14 44. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the
15 allegations contained in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint..

16 45. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form
17 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint,
18 and on that basis, deny those allegations.

19 46. In response to the allegations incorporated by reference into Paragraph 46
20 of the Complaint, Answering Defendants incorporate by reference their responses, set
21 forth above, to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 45 of the Complaint.

22 47. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the
23 allegations contained in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint.

24 48. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the
25 allegations contained in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint.

26 49. In response to the allegations incorporated by reference into Paragraph 46
27 of the Complaint, Answering Defendants incorporate by reference their responses, set
28 forth above, to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 48 of the Complaint.

50. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint.

51. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 51 of the Complaint.

52. In response to the allegations incorporated by reference into Paragraph 46 of the Complaint, Answering Defendants incorporate by reference their responses, set forth above, to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 52 of the Complaint.

53. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

54. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

55. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint.

56. Answering Defendants deny knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

57. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint.

58. To the extent directed at them, Answering Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint.

59. Answering Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment against Answering Defendants for any of the relief set forth in the "Prayer for Relief" set forth on pages 13 and 14 of the Complaint.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action – Release)

60. As a first and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Answering

Defendants allege that one more of the causes of action therein is barred, in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's express release.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action - Waiver)

61. As a second and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Answering Defendants allege that each of the causes of action therein is barred by Plaintiff's waiver.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action – Bona Fide Error)

62. As a third and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Answering Defendants assert that any violation of federal or state law attributable to Answering Defendants as alleged in the Complaint – which Answering Defendants deny – was the result of a bona fide error that occurred notwithstanding procedures reasonably adopted to avoid such errors, and thus, Answering Defendants cannot be liable for such violations, if any.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Third Causes of Action – Plaintiff’s Misconduct)

63. As a fourth and separate affirmative defense to the Third Cause of Action in the Complaint, Answering Defendants assert that Plaintiff's misconduct concerning the matters alleged in the Complaint constituted carelessness, negligence, and/or misconduct, or Plaintiff was otherwise at fault, and the resulting injuries, if any, sustained by Plaintiff were proximately caused and contributed to, in whole or in part, by the conduct of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered, Answering Defendants pray for judgment as follows:

- a. That Plaintiff takes nothing by way of his Complaint in this action;
 - b. Awarding Answering Defendants reimbursement for the costs of suit and, upon a finding that the action was brought by Plaintiff in bad faith to harass, awarding

1 Answering Defendants attorneys' fees incurred by them in connection with this action
2 pursuant to 15 U.S.C § 1692k(a)(3); and

3 c. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

4 Dated: June 22, 2015

5 DE CASTRO LAW GROUP, P.C.
6

7 By: Jose-Manuel A. de Castro
8 José-Manuel A. de Castro
9 Attorneys for Defendants Rockport
10 Administrative Services, LLC, Riverside
11 Healthcare & Wellness Centre, LLC, Tim
12 Garcia, and Cecilia Machado
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to this action.
My business address is 9000 W. Sunset Boulevard, Suite 450, Los Angeles, California
90069.

On June 24, 2015, I served the following document(s): **ANSWER TO COMPLAINT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS ROCKPORT ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, LLC, RIVERSIDE HEALTHCARE & WELLNESS CENTRE, LLC, TIM GARCIA AND CECILIA MACHADO** as follows:

ALL PARTIES APPEARING IN THIS ACTION

- By E-Service:** I electronically served the above document(s) via CM/ECF on the parties opting for e-service.

BY E-MAIL: In accordance with a service agreement among the parties to this action, I transmitted a true copy of the document(s), in .pdf format, by electronic mail to the electronic mail address listed above for each addressee, and received no reply message indicating a delivery failure.

BY REGULAR MAIL: I deposited such envelope in the mail at 9000 W. Sunset Boulevard, Suite 450, Los Angeles, California 90069. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.
I am "readily familiar" with my office's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

BY FACSIMILE MACHINE: I transmitted a copy of the original document to the listed facsimile numbers, as noted below the address for said addressee(s).

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the listed addressee(s), as noted below the address for said addressee(s).

Executed: June 24, 2015 at Los Angeles, California

- (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Nanette Leali